P.F 620894

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1893-94

~~192~~ :

(BK)

File No. 114 of 93

Serial Nos. 1 - 6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Register ~~Part~~ B for November 1894

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Rama Gowda regarding the Patelig of Basavakalli, Kadur Taluk

---

Contributed.

Indexed

WD 2233—G.P.B.—20,000—12-6-20

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894.

(1)

No. 1614

Name of Petitioner. Ravee Sonde
by his Counsel Mr. Ramachandra Ayyar Advocate

Village.

Taluk. Ranipettai

District.

Date of Arzi. 22-6-94

Date of Receipt. 25-6-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. two

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the judgment of the Deputy Commissioner of Madura District, passed in Revenue Regular appeal case No. 10 of 1893-94, confirming the judgment of the Assistant Commissioner of Madura District, passed in Revenue Regular suit No. 8 of 1891-92, in re his Patta land of Devadanapatti village, Nilakottai Taluk.

The Counsel for the appellant submitted that the Deputy Commissioner erred in finding that the appellant's claim was barred by limitation, that the Deputy Commissioner should have decided the appeal on the merits of the case, that the Deputy Commissioner, being the Appellate

Pet 10

2

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3642

dated 22 January received at the

Dewan's Office from Rama Sonta

(by 2nd L. Subramaniengar, a Vakeel

Pannyalam, appealing against

the order of the Sadar Dy. Comm

in Rev. Regular appeal 330 (1893

respecting his claim to the

Patel of Devarsandi, Kadur

Dist. Tarikere

is forwarded, together with its two

enclosures, to the Deputy Commr

Kadur for submission

of the certified Proceedings

connected with the case

before the 18th Instant -

& the Revenue Enclosures

should be returned.

By Order,

Draft

Bangalore, 3 Jan 1894

Chief Secretary.

7

Dly 7/1

SLR

4/7

DJ 3/7

M.G.C.P. Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated Camp, Tarikere, 14th

Despatched 17th July 94

Received

Enclosures No. (39.)

General No. 25 } Petition of Peasants
No. } 1894-95

I have called for in this official Memo No. 161 dated 7th instant, has the honor to submit the entire proceedings of Kane Gowda & Huddegowda in official case No. of 1893-94 on the Revenue file of this Court, regarding the Patel of the Basrikalli Village in the Kadur Taluk.

The

The Petition and its enclo-
-sures are herewith returned.

4

Hon'ble Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

V.M.

Remove further
Register all his Issues
as thekidars
to be W[illegible]

9/5/94

9/5

Put in the box
22/6/94

3642



MYSORE COURT FEE

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 3642
DATE 23-9-94

30-11-94

Before the Council

of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Revenue Second Appeal

No. 4 of 1893-94.

Ramegouda - 2nd defendant and

Appellant.

~~1st~~

Muddegouda - Plaintiff and

Respondent.

The 2nd defendant above named begs to prefer this Second Appeal against the Judgment of the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur District, passed in Revenue Regular Appeal case No. 1 of 1893-94, reversing the Judgment of the General Assistant Commissioner of Kadur District, passed in Revenue Original Suit No. 3 of 1891-92 ~~on the following grounds, viz.~~

The office of the stamp
in suit being the scaff required

"Huk"



"Kuli" of the 1st defendant the alienation of the same by the 1st defendant in favor of the 2nd defendant (appellant) is good and valid and binding on against the plaintiff -

II - The plaintiff and 1st defendant are estopped by their conduct and acts from disputing the title of the 2nd defendant to and his right to enjoy the office of the patel in suit -

III - The Deputy Commissioner erred in law in holding that the alienation of the office in suit without the consent of the plaintiff is not good and valid and binding against him under the Hindu Law -

IV - The strict letter of the Hindu law does not apply to this case -

V - If the present case is so governed by Hindu Law, the plaintiff's suit (ibid) cannot be maintained unless he brings a suit for the partition of the whole of the family property -

VI - The suit is clearly the result of the collusion between the plaintiff and

and 1st defendant to injure the 2nd defendant.

VII. The judgment of the Deputy Commissioner is against law weight of evidence and probabilities of the case.

VIII. The appellant (2nd defendant) prays that pending the disposal of this appeal, the execution of the order of the Deputy Commissioner may be stayed.

[signature]

22nd June 1914
Bangalore.

Advocate for the Appellant.

8 (9)

Revised Second Appeal

LR 1124-934

Robert Powell Appellant

vs

Rhodes Powell Respondent

The Rhodes Powell
the Respondent in the
above the said Robert
Powell the Appellant in
Error Law of the State
Aforesaid

Robert Powell the
the Respondent Filed of
the Secretary in the
Executive Office of the
Said State of 1886
he for the Respondent
in this Cause the Appellant
in the Court and the
Court below to

9

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

LR 112-93-5

Endorsement granted to Rame Gowda, Son of Range Gowda of Dasahally, Kudur Taluq,

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3642 dated 22.6.94

appealing against the ~~Order~~ Judgment and decree of the Dy Commr in Rev. appeal — 1993.94 directing that the Patelig of the village be restored in the name of Mudde Gowda and that he be put in possession of the office.

~~Petitioner is informed that~~

Petitioner is informed that the Dy Commr has been directed to register the office in the names of all the sons of ~~Mudde~~ Range Gowda — Mudde Gowda, Rame Gowda and Gowde Gowda and to appoint Mudde Gowda the eldest son as the officiator

Enclosures are returned

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

22/7/94

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

recorded
10

See the Sufficient
Reason why the Land
Tax (Offices) should
be preferred to the
Tax of Mortgages
direct that the Names
of all the Land
Judges Justices — Middle
Grands, Petit Canals
and Grands — be
registered in place
of their Father and
that the Respondent
as the eldest Son be
appointed to the
Justices —

L. 21/11/94

Ch. Seq

14/8/94

Comité d'Agriculture
pour appuyer

No 8672
Pétitions 94

L. 21-11-94
30.

Ch. 6.94

Pour être déposé
21/12/94 archivé

To the Deputy Comm[illegible] Cadiz
returning the Records received
with his letter No 25 dated
14 July 94 (No 30)

Ch. Seq

13

(5)

LR 114-93-b

3642.